G. R. S. 58]

Previous references.

1908.

(Madurai)

Later references.

File No. 558

Serial Nos. 1 to 15 except 10+11.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1 to 15 except 10+11

Part B for Sept^r 1915

SUBJECT.

Appeal pet^n of arebak Chaluvadi of Kattaya Hassan Dist^t re alienation of a portion of the Inam land attached to the Sri Gopalakrishna Devasam at the place

---

To, The Pet^r N^o 657

2.9.15

Informs him that his has been rejected as he has stated that the land is in the enjoyment of Venkatiah's wife before Govt^

GPB—10,000—23.8.15

G.R.S. 11] 190 9.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Mysore)

NOTES.

File No. 558-08

FILE HEADING.

Alienation of a portion of the Inam lands belonging to the Sri Gopala Krishna Devaru at Kattaya in the Hassan Taluk.

From

Chalmeiah, Archak of the Temple.

No. Petition Dated 24-10-08 Received

Diary No. 69	Enclos.	Spare Copies	Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Prays that certain crops on the Devadaya Inam lands which are under civil Court attachment, may be given over to him for the benefit of the Temple.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

(Sl. 2) To. The - Dy. Commr., Hassan Dt.
O.M. no. 203 Dt 11-11-08
forwards Sl 1 for disposal

(Sl. 3) From.. the same officer,
Letter no 368 Dt 7-4-09.

NOTES—First sheet

GPB—30,000—3-4-07

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mysore File No. 558 of 1908

Serial No.

Reports on the Subject

one Venkatiah is the karavarda dar Archak of the temple and chaluvah (party before Govt.) is doing duties for him Timmalamma widow of Thimmappiah alias Giriappa and sister-in-law of Venkatiahs has obtained a Decree in Second Appeal in the chief court of mysore to the effect that part of the temple lands should be used for the maintenance of the widow and it has been held by that Court that such an order did not amount to an alienation. In pursuance of this Decree, parts of temple lands have been delivered to Timmalamma in execution case no 665 of 1904-05 on the file of the Munsiff of Hassan. The Dy. Commr. doubts whether in the face of the chief Commissioner's Notification re alienation Rules of 1877, Civil Courts can attach Devadaya Inams and solicits instructions in the matter. It is against the foregoing order of the court that Chaluviah has appealed to Govt.

Submitted for orders & the reply from the Registrar of the chief court

AD 6/5/09

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPL—50,000—13-5-08

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Murzapur File No. 558 of 190 9

Serial No.	
	with reference to this office communication enquiring what subsidiary instructions have been issued to the Sub courts on the Alienation Rules of 1874. may be awarded
	Subordinates Call up both papers Ld 6/5/09 copies of the judgments of the three Courts — S 8/5/09
	Ld — Dft submitted Issue R.R.R. 14.5.09 S 17/5/09 Ld 14/5/09 A.N.Bhar to put up forwarding an endorsement to the document referred to
	Ld Dft Returned Ad 6.8.09 Issue S 7/8/09 Ld 7/8/09
4	To. Lucknow No. 1952 dy 20.5.09. Calls for the production of the Copies of judgments of the three Courts.
5	To. D. C. Hassan No. 408 dy 10.8.09. Requests the forwarding of the Copies of judgments of the three Courts bearing

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—13-5-08

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 558 of 1908

Serial No.	
	on the Case.
6	From, Cheluviah. Petition No. 1681 of 10 4. 1911. Produces the Copy of judgment of the Munsiff of Hassan and prays for early orders in the matter.
	Draft Calling upon the petitioner to produce the Copies of judgments of the other two Courts is submitted. RS 17/5/11 Issued 17/4/1911
	Endt No 2682 Dy 9+1 To Cheluva Yajaman, Peetak, Kattu, Hassan; Asking the petr to produce copies of judgments of the District and Chief Courts
8	From, Anchak Cheluviah Petition Dy 22 2 1912 Produces a copy of the Ch Court judgment and states that he produced the Dist Court judgment before the Amildar of the Hassan Tq, and prays that as he has been Conducting worship in the Temple at his Cost for 5 years past,

NOTE— Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12-8 10

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 558 of 1908

Serial No.

the produce in arrawath may be made over to him, and that the Registers registered in his name.

The Dy. Comm's report called for in Sl 5 may be awaited.

Submitted for orders

AW(132) 11.3.12

Issue 11/3/12

Reminder Subs

Issue 12/8/12

9. To the Dy Comm Arcot

No 2221 dt 20.6.12

Forwards the petn in ori & calls for the papers regd to herein

— Encls to petn No 2222 dt 20.5.12

Informs him of the case

~~15~~ Another petition from Kancharla chalaviah (with its 2 encls) praying for an early disposal of the case

Submits with a dft. forwarding the petn in Contn

AW(132) 18.9.12

Issue 18/2/13

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12 S 10

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 558 of 1908.

Serial No. 1

From, the Dy Commr Hassan Dist
Letter no 299 Dy 25 2. 1915
Submits copies of judgments of both the chief Court and Dist judges' Court, and states that the petr has already produced a copy of the judgt of the Munsiffs' Court

the copy of the judgt of the Munsiffs' Court produced by the petr with Sl. 6 is in this office file.

Submits for orders

MWL 115
12-3-1915.

A devadaya land has been ordered to be given over for the maintenance of a widow in pursuance of the decree of the Munsiff of Hassan which & the the District Judge of Hassan & the Chief Court have confirmed. The utilisation of the devadaya land for the maintenance of a widow has been held by the Chief Court as not amounting to an alienation. But it has also been considered that the mere maintenance of a widow does not fulfil the object of the grant. ~~which~~

~~[illegible]~~

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G.R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 558 of 1910.

Serial No.

attention is also invited to the Dy Com^rs first letter no. 268 of 8th April 09 (Sl. No. 3 in the file) pointing out that the orders of the civil courts have served to frustrate the objects of a grant like this restricting orders in the matter.

As the object of the Inam grant is not fulfilled, the Inam seems to be liable to be resumed by Govt., ~~the temple~~ ~~lease~~ suitable arrangements being made for the proper performance of the services in the temple.

RL
13.3.15

Chelluvia never prays for confirmation. What has become of Veeraiah. How is Chelluvia related thereto. Is his presence after the adverse Veeraiah. Have any circulars been issued since by Govt. regarding Devadaya lands

The following information is gathered from Chaluvinka's petition dated 22nd Feby. 1912 (vide Genealogical tree received with Serial 9) :—

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—25,000—2 11 11

(R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 558 of 1918

Serial No

One Thimmappiah was the original hakdar Archak. His second son Venkatia - who was the karnavar of Archak, is dead. Chalmiah is - Venkatiah's sister Thimmamma's son. Tirumalamma who sues for maintenance in the Civil court, is Venkatiah's elder brother Sinappa's widow. Chalmiah states that he has been carrying Puja duties in the Temple and prays that the Archak karnavar may be made out in his favour.

Muz. File no. 131 of 1911 re Inam Gollahalli Village endowed to Sri Uttaradi matt is put up. Attention is respectfully invited to pages 7 to 14 of the office note, wherein the action taken by the Chief Court is discussed, and also to the correspondence between Govt. and Chief Court (Sls. 12 to 14) in reference thereto - Muz. 2. no. 86 of 1904 - of that file.

Submd. for orders.

AM(15?) 12-7-1915

12/7/15

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

x File got from 1st Member's office to-day i.e. 12.7.15

GPB—25,000—2-11 14

Serial No.

Subd 5th member

The point that the lands were devadaya inam was definitely taken in all the courts who held that as the office was hereditary and that so long as the duties were properly performed the properties ~~were~~ not to be dealt with, there was nothing wrong in giving some of them for the maintenance of a widow who was bound to be maintained by the head of the family.

It does not seem that it was brought to the notice of the courts that the income from the properties was not only the stipend as remuneration to the pujari but had to serve towards the daily and periodical expenses of the temple and a diversion from this object would be alienation within the meaning of the Ch. Com^rs Act^t of 1877 and under cl 3 of rule 2 there does not seem to be anything to prevent the resumption and restoration of the lands to the temple.

To some extent the civil court appears to have proceeded on the assumption that the temple was

GPB—25,000—2 11 14

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. 558 of 1915.

Serial No.

the private property of the Pujari and that an order of maintenance out of the temple property would not be against the terms of the grant. The pleadings seem to have been at fault.

In the circumstances of the present case, it does not seem expedient to take any action as it would hardly appear that the concurrent findings of the civil courts should be upset on the executive side. The maintenance should be paid as ordered in spite of this time and further action may await until she passes away.

It may perhaps be expedient to issue a notice that though the temple's right to the land is recognised by Govt as a special case the widow be allowed to enjoy the land during her life and that she should not under any circumstances commit any devastation or attempt to alienate the land on pain of being evicted therefrom

Sd
23.7.15

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—25,000—2 11-11

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. [illegible] of 191[illegible]

Serial No.

Has Venkatayya left no heirs nearer than Sister's son?

The Case may be posted for hearing the Pet^r.

31/7/18

(I presume the inam is reg^d in the name of the temple, not of Pujari. If so, the Govt was apparently not right in regarding it as Pujari's property. Perhaps the point was not properly pressed by the Pet^r. Place a Copy of the inam reg^r in the file for ref^ce.)

31/7/18

* For note in the file

dw

Govt 8/8/15

8/8/15

GPB—25,000—22-3-15

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. | of 191

Serial No.

Submitted with a Dft. letter to the Inam Supdt and a Dft. notice to the petitioner Chaluviah.

AV(94) 3.8.15

13 2.8.15

3/8/15

Rec 3/8/15

14 From, The Inam Superintendent.
Letter no 23 dt 5.5.15
Forwards a copy of the Inam register.

In the inam register, the lands are noted as Devadaya, and are intended for the support of Gopalakrishna Devaru Temple in the village.

The heirs of the deceased Archak Venkatiah Son of Thimmappiah (ongle Varawarddar Archak) as gathered from the petitioner of Chaluviah, are noted below:—

(1) Thimmappiah's widow Chaluvamma, who is said to be living with Chaluviah.

(2) Venkatiah's childless widow Channamma.

The petr states that Channamma was with her parents when her husband was alive, and that her moral character was bad.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—25,000—30 4-15.

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. ( ) of 191 .

Serial No

(3) Venkatiah's elder sister Thimmamma
son Chalmaiah (petr before Govt.)
He says that he performed the
obsequies of the deceased Venkatiah.
(4) Venkatiah's younger sister Chalu
mamma's son Shamanna
Submitted
SM 94)
16.8.1915.

The case is now posted for
hearing the petn. Petr. also to be
given notice today.

21 Aug 1915

Chalmayya

The Petr says that Jeru
Malamma died 40 or 5 years
ago; that after her death the
land in dispute was in his
possn till 1½ yrs ago, when
he was dispossessed by Ven-
Katanyya's widow.

The ~~Petr's~~ petition is
therefore struck off.

21/8/15

Notice to the petr & the
counter (no 628
SM 8 15).
Submitted
A.D.
11 8 15 — 12/8/15

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—25,000—30 4 15

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. of 191

Serial No.

Swimp. with a Bph cut

MLSW
23.8 15/15 BH
31.1.15 — ALS
Jan
MLS
15- 10.157 i; 2 9.15 ; the bale
chalcrich - inferior skin
is skinned
1-

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—25,000—30 4 15.

Agreement
of
Partnership
between
us

GMJ-55-B

11) ಬರವಣಿಗೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲವು ಸಂಕೇತಗಳನ್ನು ಬಳಸಿದಾಗ ಅದನ್ನು ಶೀಘ್ರಲಿಪಿ ಎನ್ನುವರು. ಅದನ್ನು ಬರೆಯುವವರನ್ನು

17

Muz 3]

GOVT. OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA
OF MYSORE.

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No. 203 / Muz 558 08

The accompanying Petition No D69 Muzrai 105-08-

dated 24-10-08 received at this Office from Chairman Archak of Gopalakrishna Devaru temple at Bommanahalli (in Hoskote Taluk) praying that certain crops on the Devadaya Inam lands which are under civil Court attachment may be given over for the benefit of the institn.

is forwarded, ~~together with its enclosures~~, to the Dy Commissioner, Kolar Distt for disposal

By Order,

Dft

for Secretary,
Muzrai Department.

BANGALORE.
11/11 1908

11/11/Clerk

11.11.08

No 204
Muz 105-08-3

GP —1500—20 10 07

190 No. D1284

From [illegible]

To K S Aandrevelkhariyar Esq Bellary

Dated 7

Despatched 8 April 1909

Received

General No 368

No S.

Enclosure No. U.P

Requesting instruction re. the alienation for a widow's maintenance of a part of inam lands belonging to the Sri Gopalakrishnaswamy at Kattaya in Kasaba Taluk.

By [illegible] 21/4/09

[illegible] 21/4/09

Rect 21/4/09

Deputy Commissioner &c,

Mysore District.

Mysore 7th April 1909

From,

P. Somasekharaiah Esquire,

Deputy Commissioner,

Mysore District.

To, K. S. Chandrasekharaiya Avl Bsc, BL

Secretary to the Executive Committee,

the Municipality of Mysore,

Mysore City.

Sir,

Adverting to your O.M. No. 203/ Mug. 105-08-2 dated 11th November 1908 forwarding for disposal petition of Chelluvaiya Archak of Sri Gopala-krishna devaru temple at Kattaya and Bommanahally in the Hassan taluk praying that certain crops on the Devadaya Inam lands which are under Civil Court attachment may be given over for the benefit the institution etc, I have the honour

honour to state that the petitioner is only discharging the duties of the Archak on behalf of the Varavaramar Venkatiah. The latter's Sister in law Tirumalamma filed a suit in the Civil Court for maintenance against the said Varavaramar Venkatiah. The matter was finally disposed of by the Hon'ble the Chief Court of Mysore in 2nd appeal No. 93 of 1903-04 (vide Mysore Chief Court reports Vol. X Page 20). A perusal of the Law Report will show that the Chief Court held that the order of the Lower Court that part of the temple lands should be used for the

the maintenance of the defendant's (karavandar's) brother's widow did not amount to an alienation of those lands from their proper object.

2. Persuant to the said decree of the Chief Court parts of temple lands have been delivered to the Plaintiff Tirumalamma in execution case no. 665 of 1907-08 on the file of the Munsiff of Hassan (vide copy in the enclosed file).

3. The petitioner prays that the said lands together with the crops attached may be redelivered to him. In accordance with Chief

Notification

No. 266

No. 266 dated 1877 the inam
lands which are diverted
from the objects for which
they were granted could
be resumed; but as the
Chief Court have held that
delivery of the lands for
maintenance of a widow of
the Archak's family did not
amount to an alienation
of those lands from their
object it is difficult to
proceed as per the said
Chief Commissioner's notifi-
-cation. Cases may arise
in which the whole of the
inam lands of a Dargai
Institution may thus be
apportioned among several
widows

widows for their maintenance
& the Archak may be entirely
deprived of all means of
livelihood and the institution
of all religious service and
worship. As the said ruling
of the Mysore Chief Court
has raised an important
question of law and Civil
Court jurisdiction, I request
that you will be so good as
to obtain early instructions
of Government with regard
to the redelivery of the
inam lands to the Archak
of the Institution.

4:— The Vernacular papers
ending with Hassan Taluk
Amildar's report No. 279 dated
15th

15th March 1909 are herewith.

Submitted for reference.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant.

W. H. Whitehead

Deputy Commissioner

Nassau District.

G.R.S. 29A]

190 .

From

Secy. to the Govt. of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
Genl. and Rev. Dept.

To

The Dy. Commr
Hassan Dist.

Dated

Despatched

Received 10th August 1909

General No.

No.

Enclosure No. 45

Adverting to his Letter no. 368 Dy 7th April 1909 reporting on the petition of Archak Chaluvaiah complaining of the alienation of a portion of the Inam lands belonging to the temple of Sri Gopala Krishna Devaru at Kattaya in the Hassan Taluk, He has the honor to request

MGCPE—20,000—16-2-05

that of the judgments
of the 3 courts hearing
in the case, may kindly
be obtained from the
said individual and
submitted to this office for
reference at an early date.

2) ~~The~~ Vern records are The Vernacular records
~~herewith returned for~~ as entered here
ref. and re-submission.

By and-by,
D/G)
for Secretary.
7/8/09



2603

28

Original Suit No 470 of 900-01.

In the Court of the Munsiff of Shenoy

present: M L Srecandayya Gowda Esqr B.A, B L
Munsiff

Dated 24th June 1901

Plaintiff Defendant Pages 16-7

Thirumalamma Venkalayya

Judgment.

The plaintiff who is the widow of the defendant's brother seeks to recover possession of item No 2 and 5 of the schedule which had been given to her in lieu of her maintenance ~~at the rate of 4 RS per month and~~ (100 RS) in a panchayati but the possession of which has been wrongfully taken by the deft, or maintenance at the rate of 4 RS per month and 100 RS for the marriage of her daughter with a portion of the

the family house and Rs 12 yearly for sadees and past maintenance Rs 96.

Defendant pleads that he is not liable as the plaintiff's husband had been divided from him and that item no 2 and 5 had not been given to the plaintiff as alleged and that she had never been in possession of them. The scheduled lands cannot be burdened as they are the g.o.di lands of a temple and that the amount of maintenance claimed is excessive and the suit not maintainable.

The suit came on for settlement of issues on 22-11-900

The plaintiff was represented by pleader Mr. B C Kesava Iyengar

The Defendant was represented by pleader Mr. C Seetharamiah.

following issues were settled:-

1. Whether any property to which the plaintiff's husband was entitled is with the Defendant?

2. Whether items 2 and 5 were given to plaintiff for her maintenance and was she deprived of the same as alleged in the plaint?

3. What is the amount of maintenance allowable?

4. Whether the schedule properties as such as should not be made liable to plaintiff's right of maintenance?

5. What relief is plaintiff entitled to get?

The suit came on for final hearing on 5/2/901, 4-4-901 and 21-6-901 parties appeared as before.

The plaintiff examined 1 Thirumalamma & (plff) 2 Dyavalay Gowda 3 Basavay Gowda 4 Dyavay Gowda and 5 Naranappa but filed no exhibit.

The Defendant examined 1 Gopalay gowda 2 Ningegowda and 3 Thimmay Gowda but filed no exhibit.—

31

is the widow of his deceased brother but contends that as her husband had been divided from him he is not liable to maintain the plaintiff. He has examined 2 witnesses Gopala Gowda and Lingay Gowda to prove the division but these witnesses only say that the plaintiff's husband was for some time living in a separate village from that in which the defendant had been living From this the division and breaking up of the joint interest cannot be taken to have been proved. If there had been really a division of interest the defendant would have been in a position to show what properties had been given to plaintiff's husband and what became of them The defendants witnesses prove that the plaintiff has been living in a portion of the family house in which

which the defendant has been put
up. The plaintiff's witnesses too
prove that the brothers had not 32
been divided. The defendant
further contends that the lands
in his possession are held by him
as the pujare of a temple and
as such cannot be burdened with
the maintenance of the plaintiff.
This pujari right is admitted to be
hereditary to the family Consequently
so long as the lands remain in the
possession of the family they must
maintain the members of that
family who are entitled to it.

The plaintiffs' witnesses Davalay-
-gowda Basavey Gowda Davay
Gowda prove that an year after
the death of plaintiff's husband
there was a punchayat in which
the defendant gave item No 2 and 5
to the plaintiff in lieu of her
maintenance and that subsequently

has taken possession of them as alleged in the plaint. It seems to be likely that an arrangement of some such sort must have taken place otherwise the plaintiff would not have kept quiet. And I see no reason why the evidence of these witnesses should not be believed The plaintiff seeks to recover possession of these lands or some monthly payments with other items. From the circumstances of the case the defendant would feel less if some lands are given instead of monthly instalments

For these reasons I hold:—

(1) The defendant is in possession of the lands which ought to maintain the plaintiff

(2) Item nos 2 and 5 had been given to the plaintiff and she was subsequently dispossessed.

(3) Item no 2 and 5 should be given to

to the plaintiff for her maintenance
(4) The scheduled properties are
liable for the maintenance of
the plaintiff
(5) The plaintiff's suit is decreed
with costs by which the plaintiff
is entitled to recover possession
of item no 2 and 5 with costs of
this suit and to continue to occupy
the portion of the family house
in which she has been put up.
The defendant who is very much
to be blamed for this litigation
has to pay the institution fee with
out any reduction being made

pronounced in open court

(Signed) M L S. Gowda
24/6/01 Munsiff

Examined
A. Viswanathan
Date of application 20/3/11
Date when stamps and fees were called for 20/3/11
Date when addl stamps & fees were called for Nil
Date when stamps and fees were deposited 20/3/11
Date when addl stamps & fees were deposited Nil
Date when copy was ready 22/3/11

Copy.
Sheristadar

35

Date of - very 22/3/11

Dasaprak applicant's

Copying and

Comparing fees 30-15-

No 2682

W. Enclosure Note
22.2.12

37

DIARY No. 1306
11.12.12
Murari
558-08
8

ದಶಲಿಪ್ರವನ್ನಚರ್ಚೆಪ್ರಕಾರ ಸೋಲಿಸ್ಬೇಂದು ಶಂಸಾಯವಶ್ಚಿಶಿಷ್ಯಶಿಕ್ಷಾಂದು ಬಂದಿಸ್ಲಿನಿ

(6) ಯೂಂಶಿಂಶಚ್ಚಿಂಪ್ಪ ಸಾಂಯುವದಶ್ಚಿಮುಂಚಿಶಿವಾಂಸಿ ಅಂದರೆ ಅಮರಡುವದು ಷದಶಿಳ್ಳಷೀನಿಆಶವಡಿಂಡಶಿಚ-ನ್ಲಿಷ್ಮಮು. ನನ್ನಿಲಚ್ಚೆ ಮಕ್ಕುಲಂದಭಸ್ಲಿನ್ಲಿಷ್ಮಿಂಯುಷ್ಮ ಬಿಮುಶನ್ನಿಕಾರುಮಷಿನಿಷ್ಪಿಲಾಶಮಷ್ಟಿಸ್ಲೋಪಡ್ಡು. ಮುಕ್ಕುಯೂಂಶಿಸ್ಲಿಸಾಂಶಪಷ್ಟಿಸ್ಲೋಪ್ಲಂ ಶಶ. ಯೂಂಶಿಂಡಂಶ್ಲಿಂಪ್ಪಬಿಗುಕಿಗಾಬ್ಬಿನಡೀನನೆ ಈಶಿನಡಡಿಯಮ ಮುಂಯೂಂಗಿಲ್ಲಷಿಂ ದು. ಶ್ಚಕಾರುಷ್ಟುಷ್ಟಶ್ಚಿಪ್ಪುಶ್ಚಿರಂಯೂಂದಿನಷ್ಟುನಡಿಯಮಶಾಂಗಷ್ಟಿ-ಕೋಳಿಶಳ್ಳುಸ್ಲಿ ನಾಪ್ಪುಬಾಲ್ಲುಂ

(7) ಇಚನ್ನಶಿಂಲಿಂದುವದಾಸಿ-ವಂದುವಂಶಶ್ಚಿಷ್ಟನನ್ನ ಯೂಂಲಭಿಂಯೋಂ ದಿನಿ: ಲನಶ್ಚಿನ ಶ್ಲೀನಿ

(೮) ನನ್ನಿಮುಷ್ಟರ್ಷ್ಟಿ: ಚರ್ಚನಶ್ಚಿಬಂದಲೂಂಸಾಂಯ್ಕುವಿಮುವಡುಷ್ಟದಯುಂದ ಲು ನನ್ನಿಷಾಂತಿಲಾಂಸವಾಸಿನಿಂದವಿಮೀಂಶಶನಿಶೀಚನ ಮೀಂಸಾರಾಧ್ಯನಿ ಮೀಂಶಸ್ಲಿಷ್ಟದಿ ಕೋಂಸಡಡು ನಶಶಶೆಯೋಂಯಂಬುಂದಿಲುಶ್ಲೋನಿ ಆದಶಿ ಇದುವಶುಷ್ಟಷ್ಟಶ್ಚಿಷ್ಟದಲಂಷ್ಟಯೂಂ ವಾಬ್ಜುಶ್ಚಿಳಲೂಂಸುಶೆಂಸು ಅಮೂಂನಶ್ಚನಷ್ಟಿ ಇಂಶಚೀಂಶಿಂದುಸುರಾ ದಗಷ್ಟುಂಲಾಸಿ ಸುರ್ಕಾರದಲ್ಲಿಯಿ ಅಮೂಂನಶ್ಚಿದೆ. ಇದ್ರಿಲಮೂಂನಶ್ಚನಷ್ಟಿ ಯೂಂಲುವದಶಶೆಶವನ್ನಶ್ಚಿಂ ಚೆಶ್ಚೆಲ್ಲಮೂಂದುಷ್ಟಶುವನನ್ನ ಸಿಕೊಂಶ್ಲಾಷೊಂಡುವಂದೆ. ತೆಶ್ಚುಲಿಶಮಿಂಶ್ಚಿಷ್ಟೂಂಶ್ಲಿ ಬರವಂಸಿಕೂಂಶ್ಲಿಷ್ಟುಂದಯುಂಲಿಲಭಿಂಕು

(9) ಸೋಲ್ಲಂಡಂಕೊಂಡುವಿಚ್ಚಿನ್ನವು. ಖಾವಂದಶವನುಭನ್ನಾಸಿಶಂಶಿಳಾಲನೆ ಯೂಂದ. ನಷ್ಟಿಮೀಂಶಸ್ಲಿನದಷ್ಟೂಜಿಷ್ಟಿನ್ನವನ್ನಷ್ಟಿರಿಸಿಬಾರಾವಡುಮೂಂನ್ಲಿಕಾಂಸಿ ಡಬೇಕೆಂದುಚ್ಚಿತುಶ್ಲೋನೆ.

(10) ಮಳರಾಲು ಇಲೂಂಬಿಯಿಷ್ಟಿ ಆಚರಣೆಯಿಷ್ಟಿ ಇಶುವಶ್ಯಾಯ್ಕುಪ್ರಕಾ ರವಾಸಿ ಇಂಷ್ಟಾಲನೋ ಶಶೀಂಶುಷ್ಟಳುಶ್ಚಿಳುಯೂಂಶಸುವದನ್ನಿ ಖಾವಂದಶವನುಶಯ ವಿಷ್ಟುಶಿಂಶಲನೆಮೂಂದುವದಲ್ಲದೆ ಇದುವಳುಷ್ಟಬಿಂದಷ್ಟಶಲೆ ಬಾಂಧವೆಂಬಕೋಣಾ ಬ್ಲಿಂದಕುಂಬತಷ್ಟಶವನ್ನದಾಬಿನೆಂಬ ಅಂಶವನ್ನಿ ಖಾವಂದಶವಶುವನ್ನಾಲೂಂಕಾಸಿ ದಯವಿಷ್ಟುಷ್ಟುಂದಯುಂಲಿಅಶಿಷ್ಟಾಸಿ ಬೇಡುಸ್ಲೋನೆ

ತಾ 22. 2. 912

ಶಷ್ಟಿನಿರಂತರವಿಧೇಯಜೀಲುಷ್ಟೆಯಸ್ಟಾ

No. 2222/2012 dt. 28.2.12 from C.J.

Mem. 558-01.

కాంపిటెంట్ అథారిటీస్ కు అప్పీలు చేయడంలో
కొంచెం ఎక్కువ సమయం పట్టే అవకాశం ఉన్నందున
అప్పీల్ ఎలా ఉండాలంటే—

ఈ క్రింది అంశాలకు సంబంధించిన
కాంపిటెంట్ అథారిటీ 22.2.12 నాటి ఉత్తర్వు 306
నకలు అందిన తరువాత 60 రోజులలోపు అప్పీల్
దాఖలు చేస్తే కాంపిటెంట్ అథారిటీకి తెలియజేయాలి. మరిన్ని
సవరణలు/వివరణలు కావాలంటే- అవసరమైన
కాంపిటెంట్ అథారిటీ సంబంధ వివరాలన్నీ తెలుపుతూ
వివరణ ఇవ్వడమైనది.

Regrds,

వర్మ

11.3.12

12/3/12

GPB –100,000—29 7-14

1914-15.

From

B.Ramaswamaiya Esquire,B.A.B.L.

Offg.Deputy Commissioner,

Hassan District.

To

D.M.Narasinga Rao Esquire,B.A.B.L.,
Offg.Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments,
(Muzrai Branch) Bangalore.

Dated 25th.February 1915.

Despatched

Received

General No 299

No. S.

Enclosure No. Vernacular File.

S U B J E C T.

Submitting the Copies of
of Order of the Hon'ble Mr.Justice and
Chief Court in the matter of
the Complaint of Chalavaiya
&c. the alienation of ap
of the Inam Lands belonging to
Sri Gopala Krishna Devaru
Temple at Kattaya

OV

1914-15.

40

Deputy Commissioner's Office,

Hassan District,

Dated Hassan the 25th.February 1915.

From,

B.Rameswamaiya Esquire,B.A.B.L.,

Offg.Deputy Commissioner,

Hassan District.

To,

DIARY No. 1382
19/6/19 15
SECTION Mucl
FILE No. 588-08
SERIAL No. 12

D.M.Narasinga Rao Esquire,B.A.B.L.,

Offg.Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

General and Revenue Departments,

(Muzrai Branch) Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your docket No.408/M.F.588-08 dated 10th.August 1909 and subsequent reminder No.2221/Muz.588-08-9 - dated 20th.March 1912,requesting the submission of the copies of judgments of the three Courts in the matter of the complaint of Chaluvaiya regarding the alienation of a portion of the Inam lands belonging to the temple of Sree Gopalakrishna Swami at -- Kattaya in the Hassan Taluk,I have the honor to submit herewith the file of vernacular papers ending with Hassan Taluk Amildar's report No.438 dated 23rd.February 1915 containing copies of Judg--ment of both the Chief Court and District Judge's Court,and to state that the copy of judgment of the Munsiff's Court,on the subject,was submitted direct to Government by the petitioner in obedience to their endorsement No.2682/588-08-7 vide Amildar's report No.675 dated 6th.June 1912 in the file.This fact is also mentioned by the petitioner in his petition to the Taluk Office vide paper No.20 in the file.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

B Rameswamaiya

Offg.Deputy Commissioner,

Hassan District.

41

GRS 261

SUBJECT Calls for inam extract.

Diary No of letter replied to 138

Section Rev
File No. 558/1908
Serial No.

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
, the 191 .

No 426

Date of issue 3-8-1915

Signed by Mr C Aravamudan for

To

The Superintendent of Inam Settlements in Mysore

Sir,

I am directed to request that you will be so good as to furnish this office with extracts from the detailed inam register pertaining to the grant under title deed no. 345/434 of ~~inam lands~~ ~~in~~ Kattaya village Hassan

Dated

4

for the up-keep of
Sri Gopalakrishnadevar
at Kattaya. As the case
relating to the alienation
of these lands will be
heard ~~by~~ before us on the
21st instant, I am to
request that the extracts
may be forwarded so as
to reach this office on or before
the 10th instant.

I have &c.
Sd
31/8/15
for Secretary,
Rev. Deptt

D(F)

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

GPB—20,000—14-2-14

No. 23
Enc. One

Office of the Superintendent of Inam Settlements in Mysore,
Dated Bangalore 5th August 1915.

43

From D. K Daraslah Esq B A
~~D. Shama Rao, Esq.~~
Superintendent of Inam Settlements in Mysore, Bangalore.

239
15 H
D.S.L.

To

V. R Shyajaraja Iyer Esq. M. A

Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
~~Genl. and~~ Rev Depts.

Sir,

As requested in your letter No 426 Miwz 558-08 dated 3rd August 1915, I have the honor to forward herewith, a copy of the Inam Register pertaining to the grants of inam lands to Sri Gopalakrishnadevaru temple in Kattaya village, Hassan Taluk

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient S
K D Daraslah
Superintendent of Settlements in

Gl L—300—31 9 10

1915.

From

The Superintendent,
Inam Settlements
in Mysore.

To

The Secy. to the Govt. of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
(~~Genl.~~ Rev. Depts.

Dated } 5 August 1915.
Despatched }

No. 22
Enc. one.

SUBJECT.

Forwards a Copy of the Register pertaining to the grants of inam lands to Sri Gopalakrishna Temple in Kattaya

a/

Village : Kattaya

Hobli Gowribidanur

Taluk Kadiri

Register of Inams in the village of Kattaya in the

CLASS, EXTENT AND VALUE OF INAM

Number of case or entire holding under one grant in this village	General class to which the Inam belongs	Survey No and name of field or fields comprised in the grant Dry, wet or garden	Extent Local measure. Even where the local measure is in acre, guntas, and annas, it is to be entered in this column	Extent Measure in acre and their fractions in decimals
1	2	3	4	5
1	Devadaya	Hassan district Sthal Inam account of 1847-48. Dry No. 22, 360, 375, 428 } 0-1-10 Wet No. 52, 489, 710, 924 } 0-2-3	0-3-13	

44

Summat of Gowrun in the Taluk of Hassan

		DESCRIPTION, TENURE AND	
Old assessment	Survey assessment	Description of Inam	
*Where no survey has been made and no assessment fixed by Government, the cess paid by the raiyats to the Inamdar, or the average assessment of similar Government land, should be entered in column 7		If for service, it is to be stated whether the service is continued If for tank, buildings, &c, whether they are efficiently kept up Hereditary or for life	Whether free of tax, &c or liable to quit-rent, &c, jodi, &c, amount of latter to be entered
6	7	8	9

Beriz.

P 7 U R A P
D 2-2-0 6-6-5
W 2-0-0 5-13-1
4-2-0 12 3 6

For the Sethhort of Gopalakrishna devaru Temple in This Village which is in good order and Service performed. Vide Amld Book No. 11

Jodi
P 7 U
4-2-0
Rs. A. P
12-3-6

DOCUMENT IN SUPPORT OF THE INAM

By whom granted and in what year	Written instruments in support of the claim, original sanad, all miscellaneous documents, and other proofs of long possession In this column it should be stated whether the Inam is held with or without grant, whether the grant is produced or lost, &c., and the general specification or terms of all documents produced should be given.
10	11

of Hassan

45

NAME AND RELATION SHIP OF ORIGINAL GRANTEE AND

Name of original grantee	Name entered in the register prepared by Poorniah up to 1810	Name entered in the accounts subsequent to 1810
12	13	14

Hassan District
Sthal Inam Acct
of 1847-48.

Gopalakrishna
- devaru.

	K	K	P	P	Z	U
Wet	0	2	3	—	0	4 12

Beriz 2-0-0

Dry	0	1	10	—	0	5 0

Beriz 2-2-0

0 3.13 0-9-12

B. 4 2.0

Full Kandayam
Paid to Govt +
Service rendered.

Khata of 1877-78
Kandaya —
Rs 12-3-6

of the

OF SUBSEQUENT AND PRESENT HOLDERS

Particulars regarding present owner. If the inam has been subdivided, the name, &c., of each sharer should be entered in the columns			
Residence, name and age, &c.	Relation to original grantee or subsequent registered holders	Surviving heirs of the present incumbent	If unconnected with the original holders, possession how obtained, and in what year
15	16	17	18

Kattaya

—

Gopala Krishna

Devar

Manager Podem

Division

46

LENGTH OF POSSESSION

Abstract of the orders already issued by the Commissioner or the Superintendent of the Division on lapses regarding validity or excess of the Inam. Assistant's opinion and recommendation	Review by the Special Assistant and confirmation by the Inam Commissioner
19	20
1. Whole grant allowable 2. Hassan Dt Stat Inam acct of 1847 adopted. The adoption of this acct for Inams of the late Maharajanadurg Taluk transferred to Hassan was approved of by the Inam Superintendent 3. The Inam which pays Quit Rent to Government is desired to be retained on Inam tenure. 4. To be Confirmed on the existing Jodi of Rs12—0—0 under Precis No 294 Rule U. I. T. D. (Sd) T. Srinivasaiengar Asst 28. 3. 1879	Confirmed (Sd, R Cote Superintendent of Inam Settlts 8. 4. 80 "Copy" Shamachar Superintendent of Inam Settlements in Mysore Compd 4. 8. 1915

No 657 E

R S. నెంబరు 558--08-15

GENERAL AND REVENUE
SECRETARIAT

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

47

48

[illegible]

By order,

[illegible]
for Secretary,

1st Member's order 23/8/15

Rev. Dept. No 658 Enclosure Dy 2.9.1915

Copy to the Dy. Commr, Hassan Dist, returning the entire Vernacular record received with his letter no. 299 Dy 25th Feby. 1915.

B-o.

[illegible]
for Rev. Secretary,

23/8/15